JBA comments on the TPT Disclosure Framework and the TPT Implementation Guidance

Questions	Comments
Definition	
The TPT Framework includes a definition of a transition plan. How would you describe	b) Overall, the definition provides a sound basis for transition planning, but there are
this definition?	relevant omissions.
a) The definition is complete and provides a sound basis for transition planning.	
b) Overall, the definition provides a sound basis for transition planning, but there are	
relevant omissions.	
c) The definition does not provide a sound basis for transition planning.	
d) Don't know	
	The definition does not address the need both for action against a timeline and
	ensuring that a company's business model is consistent with the transition plan.
	Ensuring that a company is held to account against both time-bound targets and
If b) or c): Please explain why you gave that answer	business transformation is an important measure for reaching net-zero by 2050.
	The definition should address the need for a just transition to Net Zero, ensuring
	that the benefits and costs of a transition to a green economy are shared fairly
	across society.

Questions	Comments
Where & how to disclose: Preparer Feedback	
In both the TPT Framework and the Implementation Guidance, we recommend that	Not sure
entities:	
a. Publish a standalone transition plan,	
b. Update the standalone transition plan at least every three years or sooner where	
there have been substantive changes, and	
c. Report progress against the plan and all other content in the plan that is deemed to	
be material to investors, consistent with corporate reporting norms, as part of annual	
TCFD- or ISSB-aligned disclosures in general purpose financial reporting	
If your entity has prepared a transition plan, or is planning to prepare a transition plan:	
to what extent to you agree with the recommendation to:	
a. Publish a standalone transition plan	
o Strongly agree	
o Agree	
o Neither agree nor disagree	
o Disagree	
o Not sure	

Questions	Comments
	Given that the disclosure standards by the ISSB or by each jurisdiction such as
	the U.S. SEC will require preparers to disclose transition plans, requiring the
Please explain your selection for a, including by providing relevant information on the	publication of a standalone transition plan may be a burden for preparers. In
costs and benefits of preparing a standalone plan	order to avoid fragmentation, we would like to suggest that the framework allow
costs and benefits of preparing a standardie plan	preparers to disclose their transition plan as an integrated part of the strategic
	pillar in the overall sustainability reporting process based on the ISSB framework
	or TCFD.
b. Update the standalone transition plan at least every three years	Agree
o Strongly agree	
o Agree	
o Neither agree nor disagree	
o Disagree	
o Not sure	
	-
Please explain your selection for b, including by providing relevant information on the	
costs and benefits of periodically updating the standalone plan	

Questions	Comments
c. Report progress against the plan and all other material content,	Agree
consistent with corporate reporting norms, as part of annual TCFD- or ISSB-	
aligned disclosures	
o Strongly agree	
o Agree	
o Neither agree nor disagree	
o Disagree	
o Not sure	
Please explain your selection for c, including by providing relevant information on the	-
costs and benefits of extracting material information for inclusion in general purpose	
financial reporting	
Where & how to disclose: User Feedback	
In both the TPT Framework and the Implementation Guidance, we recommend that	Helpful
entities:	
a. Publish a standalone transition plan,	
b. Update the standalone transition plan at least every three years or sooner where	

Questions	Comments
there have been substantive changes, and	
c. Report progress against the plan and all other content in the plan that is deemed to	
be material to investors, consistent with corporate reporting norms, as part of annual	
TCFD- or ISSB-aligned disclosures in general purpose financial reporting.	
If your entity is a user of transition plans, how helpful do you find these	
recommendations?	
a. Publish a standalone transition plan	
o Very helpful	
o Helpful	
o Neither helpful nor unhelpful	
o Very unhelpful	
o Not sure	

Questions	Comments
	We agree that publishing a standalone transition plan is helpful and that
	standardisation of these is useful from both a user's and a preparer's perspective.
	It is also important however that there is clarity on which level of consolidation a
	transition plan is required. From the perspective of a third-country headquartered
Please explain your selection for a, including by providing relevant information on the	group, being able to publish a single transition plan for the group at the level of
drawbacks and benefits of using a standalone plan	the parent entity would make for more accurate disclosures.
	More information should be provided on the rationale for a standalone report
	alongside the annual report and if this report can be combined with other reports
	of a risk or sustainability nature, which could allow for more harmonisation with
	other requirements globally.
	Helpful
b. Update the standalone transition plan at least every three years	
o Very helpful	
o Helpful	
o Neither helpful nor unhelpful	
o Very unhelpful	
o Not sure	
	<u>'</u>

Questions		Comments
Please explain your selection for b, including by providing relevant information on the drawbacks and benefits of using a standalone plan that is periodically updated	•	Three years is a sensible cycle, which balances the need for regular updates on
		actions against the targets in the transition plan. But, we also recognise that
		updates should be material and that requiring this at more regular intervals could
		add additional burdens on resources and fail to show significant progress against
		a plan.
	•	Financial institutions are also users of company transition plans, e.g. financial
		institutions assess energy company transition plans to judge if companies will be
		an important part of the energy mix in the future, either because companies have
		a good transition plan and financial institutions expect companies to transition
		and make up part of the mix in a low-carbon future, or because companies have
		intrinsic advantages that will mean they can provide the remaining fossil energy
		in the mix in the future. A standalone transition plan updated every three years
		with reported progress would be helpful for completing those assessments.

Questions	Comments
	Very helpful
c. Report progress against the plan and all other material content,	
consistent with corporate reporting norms, as part of annual TCFD- or ISSB-	
aligned disclosures	
o Very helpful	
o Helpful	
o Neither helpful nor unhelpful	
o Very unhelpful	
o Not sure	

Questions	Comments
	The streamlining of corporate reporting is helpful for both the user and the
	preparer. It is very helpful to report on progress against transition plans as part of
	the annual TCFD- or ISSB- aligned disclosures included in a company's annual
Please explain your selection for c, including by providing relevant information on the	report. This will ensure that information is displayed consistently in a single
drawbacks and benefits of accessing transition plan related information in general	location.
purpose financial reporting	Although progress against the transition plan may not be updated annually like
	other reporting metrics, publishing the latest progress as part of a company's
	annual report will ensure that this information is easily accessible and reviewed
	alongside other relevant financial and non-financial disclosures.
Where & how to disclose: Reporting Norms	
The Implementation Guidance contains guidance on the implications of five key	a. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure and is sufficiently detailed.
reporting norms from the TCFD and ISSB for transition plans. If your entity has	
prepared a transition plan, or is planning to prepare a transition plan: do you think the	
guidance provided is useful in supporting effective disclosure and sufficiently detailed?	
Reporting Boundaries	
a. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure and is sufficiently detailed.	
b. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure but lacks sufficient detail.	
c. The guidance is not useful in supporting effective disclosure.	
d. Don't know	

Questions	Comments
If b) or c): Please explain why you gave that answer	-
Links between climate-related disclosures and financial statements a. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure and is sufficiently detailed. b. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure but lacks sufficient detail. c. The guidance is not useful in supporting effective disclosure. d. Don't know	a. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure and is sufficiently detailed.
If b) or c): Please explain why you gave that answer	-
 Materiality a. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure and is sufficiently detailed. b. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure but lacks sufficient detail. c. The guidance is not useful in supporting effective disclosure. d. Don't know 	b. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure but lacks sufficient detail.
If b) or c): Please explain why you gave that answer	The treatment of materiality is a sensible one as it follows accepted practices in general purpose financial reporting. However, more consideration may be needed around how this interacts with other developing requirements that take a broader view of materiality.

Questions	Comments
	The materiality assessment is very relevant from the perspective of G-SIBs. What
	we read from the UK approach is that the materiality assessment for the purpose
	of transition planning is effectively derived from our TCFD/ISSB reporting looking
	at the financial risk assessment. However, it would be helpful to clarify the criteria
	and perimeters for globally operating institutions to perform a materiality
	assessment, and that indeed the methodology can be derived from the
	ISSB/TCFD reporting framework.
Incorporation of interdependent issues such as social impacts, nature and	b. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure but lacks sufficient detail.
adaptation	
a. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure and is sufficiently detailed.	
b. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure but lacks sufficient detail.	
c. The guidance is not useful in supporting effective disclosure.	
d. Don't know	
	It would be helpful to clarify how best to approach interdependencies in the
	context of the materiality assessment for transition planning, as well as from a
If h) or s), Please explain why you gave that anguer	geographical level.
If b) or c): Please explain why you gave that answer	With regard to the assessment of nature-related impact and dependencies, we
	hope that the Guidance will be enhanced with the specific metrics in accordance
	with future discussions at the Task Force on Nature-related Financial Disclosures.

Questions	Comments
Treatment of Uncertainty	a. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure and is sufficiently detailed.
a. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure and is sufficiently detailed.	
b. The guidance is useful in supporting effective disclosure but lacks sufficient detail.	
c. The guidance is not useful in supporting effective disclosure.	
d. Don't know	
If b) or c): Please explain why you gave that answer	-
The Framework: Overall	
	a) Yes, I agree with the overall framework.
In the TPT Disclosure Framework we set out recommendations for entities to report	
against five elements and 19 sub-elements of a transition plan. Do you agree with the	
overall framework?	
Please note that there will be a chance to provide feedback on the disclosure	
recommendations for individual sub-elements.	
a) Yes, I agree with the overall framework.	
b) Yes, I broadly agree with the overall framework, but I have comments or	
suggestions.	
c) No, I do not agree with the overall framework.	

Questions	Comments
If b) or c): Please explain why you gave that answer	-
The Framework: Preparer Feedback	
1. Foundations	
	Somewhat difficult
In the TPT Disclosure Framework we provide disclosure recommendations aimed to assist entities to disclose credible, useful, and consistent transition plans. If your entity has prepared a transition plan, or is planning to prepare a transition plan, please assess the expected level of difficulty of implementing each sub-element: 1.1 Objectives and Priorities o Very difficult o Somewhat difficult o Not at all difficult o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 1.1 Objectives and Priorities:	This would be possible for some financial institutions to do, although it would require additional work for them to develop targets for GHG emissions for the entire book as these currently cover only some sectors. As such, it may be easier

Questions	Comments
	to develop targets for some sectors than for others. This is dependent on the
	data available from clients, which also varies.
	Additional administrative work may be needed to update constitutional
	documents. However, this is achievable.
1.2 Business model implications	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 1.2 Business Model Implications:	• In some financial institutions, a statement of direction about the business model implications and need to pivot to other sectors is achievable easily. However, more detailed targets around resource allocation and operational and capital expenditure will be difficult to commit to at this stage and may require more work. Furthermore, it is right that wider implications such as the natural environment are considered at a broader level, as policy and industry standards are much less developed than for climate.
2. Implementation Strategy	
2.1 Business Planning and Operations	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	

Questions	Comments
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
	These recommendations are quite similar to the current business plans of
	financial institutions, which include putting in place origination guidelines for new
	clients, formalizing engagement with existing clients and setting targets for
Please explain your selection to 2.1 Business planning and operations:	specific sectors.
Ticuse explain your selection to 2.1 business planning and operations.	While detailed targets around GHG emissions are possible and welcome for some
	financial institutions, it is right that climate-related targets and nature-related
	statements are separated, recognizing that nature-related financial disclosures are
	at a much earlier stage.
2.2 Products and Services	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
	The level of difficulty of implementing this sub-element will depend on the
Please explain your selection to 2.2 Products and Services	granularity of the disclosure requirement.
	For financial institutions, while green-linked products will make up a proportion of
	new services offered to clients, many of our transition goals will be delivered upon
	by encouraging clients to transition their businesses through existing

Questions	Comments
	relationships, as well as targeting our services at new clients and growing the
	business in other areas.
2.3 Policies and Conditions	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 2.3 Policies and Conditions	 For financial institutions, the management of our lending and investment activity will be crucial. This will involve certain restrictions on lending. While financial institutions have origination guidelines for certain sectors, including power and energy, this is not currently the case across all sectors. However, for client engagement, policies currently exist to assess client transition plans and therefore make structured engagement recommendations to help clients transition in some financial institutions. Furthermore, work to-date has been targeted at climate-related goals, whereas policies on other nature-related impacts are at an earlier stage.
2.4 Financial Planning o Very difficult	Very difficult
o Somewhat difficult	

Questions	Comments
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
	It is difficult for financial institutions to currently assess the financial implications
	of their transition plan on the business as this is a new and developing area.
	While they will have some assumptions, there is a lack of data in this area and
Please explain your selection to 2.4 Financial Planning:	currently it would be difficult to disclose this. The expectation is that this would
Friedse explain your selection to 2.41 mancial Flamming.	improve over time as we gather more data.
	Therefore, as it is still uncertain to what extent preparers can explain the
	relationship between financial planning and transition planning, including cash
	flow, the final framework should allow flexibility for preparers.
2.5 Sensitivity Analysis	Very difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
	The UK TPT raises the point of interdependencies (including social, nature and
Please explain your selection to 2.5 Sensitivity Analysis	adaptation) at a very high level. We expect this will be an area that most financial
	institutions will be struggling with. It is an area not sufficiently covered in the
	context of transition planning and we will need further public sector guidance,

Questions	Comments
	looking at the materiality assessment as well as geographical considerations and
	NDCs.
	Scenario analysis is in need of further sophistication due to the fact that scenario
	analysis methods and data are still developing internationally, especially in light of
	the lack of forward-looking information and data. In this context, Sensitivity
	Analysis, which is a further stage of scenario analysis, is considered to be as
	difficult as scenario analysis.
	Some financial institutions currently undergo climate risk stress testing exercises
	that look at the resilience of their clients and their own portfolio under various
	policy scenarios, and therefore the possible financial impact. This is not currently
	embedded in a transition plan, but it may be possible that it can be informed by
	this. However, further work would be needed to explore how.
3. Engagement Strategy	
3.1 Engagement with Value Chain	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 3.1 Engagement with Value Chain:	It would be possible for some financial institutions to disclose engagement with
	some parts of their value chain, but for other areas it would be difficult to

Questions	Comments
	quantify the impact that this has as there are many interdependencies not
	controlled by the financial institution. It may be possible in the future, but it is
	currently too early to quantify the impact this will have across the whole value
	chain.
3.2 Engagement with Industry	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 3.2 Engagement with Industry:	This is sensible and it should be possible to disclose details of memberships of industry bodies and our general involvement in them. However, it may be difficult to disclose all details of engagements with industry representatives and bodies, as this would be very extensive and onerous to report. An overview of memberships and regular engagements should be possible. However, while internal policies would not contradict any of the stated aims in element 1: Foundations, it would be incumbent on the industry bodies themselves to ensure that their policies are consistent with this element.
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation?	-

Questions	Comments
3.3 Engagement with Government, Public Sector and Civil Society	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 3.3 Engagement with Government, Public Sector and Civil Society	 As above, it would be possible for some financial institutions to disclose their general approach and details of regular engagements. However, many of these engagements are ad hoc and as such would be difficult to disclose. We support the aims of the disclosure framework. Any engagement with public bodies will ensure that future regulatory disclosures and climate-related regulatory policy is both actionable and consistent with the aims of the Paris Agreement.
4. Metrics and Targets	
	Somewhat difficult
4.1 Governance, Business and Operational Metrics and Targets	
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	

Questions	Comments
	Some financial institutions have climate-related operational targets. Therefore,
	disclosure recommendations would be sensible. Their climate-related operational
Please explain your selection to 4.1 Governance, Business and Operational Metrics and	targets include measuring the percentage of clients assessed for climate risk,
Targets	percentage of clients assessed as high climate risk, and the percentage of our
	portfolio invested in carbon-related assets, as well as the number of hours of
	sustainability training completed.
4.2 Financial Metrics and Targets	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
	Likewise for climate-related financial metrics, these recommendations seem
Please explain your selection to 4.2 Financial Metrics and Targets	sensible. However, we are still in the early stage of setting medium and interim
	targets and need more discussion and convergence on the metric used to assess
	progress towards reaching the target.
4.3 GHG emissions Metrics and Targets	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	

Questions	Comments
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
	Some financial institutions have GHG targets, so would be able to disclose GHG
	targets as part of their transition plan. However, this would be difficult to disclose
	in line with the disclosure recommendations in the TPT draft. The
	recommendations for disclosure of GHGs are exhaustive, with information
	requested across scopes, scope categories and absolute vs intensity. Although the
	TPT draft is written to be sector-agnostic, this could be particularly difficult for
Please explain your selection to 4.3 GHG emissions Metrics and Targets:	financial institutions. Rather than broad catch-all requirements, we would
	recommend following best-practice guidance for particular sectors (e.g. banks set
	GHG targets in line with NZBA guidance).
	The ISSB will include the Scope 3 GHG emissions in the disclosure requirements,
	but will provide relief in disclosing the Scope 3 GHG emissions. To be consistent,
	we would appreciate it if the framework would include relief measures such as a
	transition period and 'safe harbour' provisions.
4.4. Carbon Credits	Very difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	

Questions	Comments
	It is currently very difficult for financial institutions to disclose their intended use
	of carbon credits as an institution to achieve priorities and objectives. This
Diagno symbols value colortion to 4.4. Courses Credite	includes both the indirect effect of providing carbon credit services to clients and
Please explain your selection to 4.4. Carbon Credits	the effect of this on their transition plan. It may be possible that financial
	institutions will use carbon credits as an institution in the future, but this is not
	currently the case.
5. Governance	
5.1 Board Oversight and Reporting	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
	In some financial institutions, there is currently Board reporting on various
	aspects of their sustainability strategy, and this is becoming increasingly
Please explain your selection to 5.1 Board Oversight and Reporting:	quantitative. However, we are still in the early stage of incorporating the review
	and monitoring process for transition plans into the Board Oversight and
	Reporting framework.
5.2 Roles, Responsibility and Accountability	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o very difficult	

Questions	Comments
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
Disass explain your selection to F.2 Deles, Despensibility and Assountability	In some financial institutions, this is already a feature of current internal planning
Please explain your selection to 5.2 Roles, Responsibility and Accountability:	and would be relatively easy to disclose.
5.3 Culture	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
	In some financial institutions, this would be relatively straightforward – they are
	focusing significant attention and resources on culture initiatives and much of this
Please explain your selection to F.3 Culture	is in the ESG space. They have a clear purpose, mission and value statements to
Please explain your selection to 5.3 Culture:	support their goals and would be able to evidence initiatives to this effect.
	However, we are still in the early stage of incorporating transition plans into our
	culture.
5.4 Incentives and Remuneration	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	

Questions	Comments
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
	In some financial institutions, while departmental targets relating to sustainability-
	linked business are being incorporated, implementing and disclosing individual
Please explain your selection to 5.4 Incentives and Remuneration:	executive remuneration related to transition plan indicators would be difficult.
	Further consideration will also be needed for market expectations and evaluation
	of the effectiveness of these targets.
5.5 Skills, Competencies and Training	Somewhat difficult
o Very difficult	
o Somewhat difficult	
o Not at all difficult	
o Don't know	
	Training is particularly important for ensuring that employees can deliver on an
	organisation's transition plan, particularly senior leaders at board level. It would
	be interesting to see how an organisation is delivering this training. However, we
Please explain your selection to 5.5 Skills, Competencies and Training:	are still in the early stage of implementing such training for transition plans.
	In some financial institutions, they have a dedicated role to provide this for
	colleagues, with a clear plan and objectives. The Guidance could include a
	stronger reference to the training needs for the specialist staff who are going to

Questions	Comments
	be more hands on in drafting, delivering and reporting on our transition plan
	commitments in their day-to-day roles.
The Framework: User Feedback	
1. Foundations	
In the TPT Disclosure Framework we provide disclosure recommendations aimed to	Very useful
assist entities to disclose credible, useful, and consistent transition plans.	
Please assess the extent to which you expect disclosures in line with our	
recommendations to be useful for informing your decisions:	
1.1 Objectives and Priorities	
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 1.1 Objectives and Priorities	This is an important element of all transition plans and will provide crucial
Please explain your selection to 1.1 Objectives and Priorities:	information on a company's transition objectives.
1.2 Business model implications	Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	

Questions	Comments
o Don't know	
	This will provide useful context to understand how the transition plan relates to
Please explain your selection to 1.2 Business Model Implications:	the business model.
2. Implementation Strategy	
2.1 Business Planning and Operations	Very useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
	This is important information for how a company will deliver against their
Please explain your selection to 2.1 Business planning and operations:	transition plan.
2.2 Products and Services	Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	

Questions	Comments
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 2.2 Products and Services	This will be interesting information, but is unlikely to be a key metric for financial institutions.
2.3 Policies and Conditions	Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 2.3 Policies and Conditions	This is less important from a user perspective, but useful and important for comparing consistency across the market.
2.4 Financial Planning	Very useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 2.4 Financial Planning:	• From a financial institution perspective, this is a very important element, which will allow us to assess where opportunities may lie, but also to plan as a business.
2.5 Sensitivity Analysis	Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	

Questions	Comments
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 2.5 Sensitivity Analysis	This will be useful information, but it will require more examples in the
	marketplace to make meaningful comparisons.
3. Engagement Strategy	
3.1 Engagement with Value Chain	Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
	This will be less useful for financial institutions from a user perspective, but is
	important for companies that have a large amount of Scope 3 emissions.
Please explain your selection to 3.1 Engagement with Value Chain:	
3.2 Engagement with Industry	Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	

Questions	Comments
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
	-
Please explain your selection to 3.2 Engagement with Industry:	
3.3 Engagement with Government, Public Sector and Civil Society	Not useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 3.3 Engagement with Government, Public Sector and	From the perspective of a bank making investment decisions, this is useful, but
Civil Society	not essential. However, this is important information for other stakeholders and
Civil Society	will foster greater transparency.
4. Metrics and Targets	
4.1 Governance, Business and Operational Metrics and Targets	Very useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	

Questions	Comments
Please explain your selection to 4.1 Governance, Business and Operational Metrics and	This is a key measure of how a business is run, so it is very important
Targets	information.
4.2 Financial Metrics and Targets	Very useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 4.2 Financial Metrics and Targets	This is very important information.
4.3 GHG emissions Metrics and Targets	Very Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 4.3 GHG emissions Metrics and Targets:	 This is important for some sectors more than others. For high emitting sectors where financial institutions have internal reduction targets such as oil and gas, this will be important.
4.4. Carbon Credits	Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	

Questions	Comments
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 4.4. Carbon Credits	 This will be interesting to know, but most importantly, it should state whether a company is factoring carbon credits into their emissions reductions. Furthermore, emissions targets should be relevant for the business model of the entity making the disclosure and should follow industry best-practice guidelines.
5. Governance	
5.1 Board Oversight and Reporting	Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 5.1 Board Oversight and Reporting:	This is important, but not crucial.
5.2 Roles, Responsibility and Accountability	Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 5.2 Roles, Responsibility and Accountability:	This is important, but not crucial.

Questions	Comments
5.3 Culture	Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 5.3 Culture:	 This will be interesting information, but may be difficult to independently verify. It is possible that a company will be self-selecting with this information. Furthermore, from a user perspective, financial institutions are more likely to focus on a client's ambition levels, targets and progress rather than their governance arrangements and approach to culture and training.
5.4 Incentives and Remuneration	Useful
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
	Useful, but it is still difficult to assess whether remuneration and inventive targets
Please explain your selection to 5.4 Incentives and Remuneration:	help to drive results in the area of sustainability. It is more important to compare
	these policies against the performance of a company on its transition metrics.

Questions	Comments
	Useful
5.5 Skills, Competencies and Training	
o Very useful	
o Useful	
o Not useful	
o Don't know	
Please explain your selection to 5.5 Skills, Competencies and Training:	This is helpful information, including any training provided at Board level.
The Framework: Suggestions	
1. Foundations	
In the TPT Disclosure Framework we provide disclosure recommendations aimed to	-
assist entities to disclose credible, useful, and consistent transition plans.	
If you regard yourself as a user of transition plans, please assess the extent to which	
you expect disclosures in line with our recommendations to be useful for informing	
your decisions:	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	
1.1 Objectives and Priorities?	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	-
1.2 Business model implications?	

Questions	Comments
2. Implementation Strategy	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for 2.1 Business Planning and Operations?	 Short, medium and long term should all be defined to allow for straightforward comparison between transition plans prepared by different entities, rather than leaving the choice of time period to the entity's discretion. Short term could be defined as within 3 years. Our suggestion for medium term is either by 2030, or up to 7-10 years in the future; long term would be thereafter.
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for 2.2 Products and Services?	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for 2.3 Policies and Conditions?	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for 2.4 Financial Planning?	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for 2.5 Sensitivity Analysis?	 Changes in assumptions from previous iterations of the entity's transition plan (or other publicly shared statements) should be explained and justified. This makes it easier for readers of consecutive plans to understand how factors outside the entity's control may have changed. The degree of sensitivity testing for each element should be explained and justified.
3. Engagement Strategy	

Questions	Comments
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation?	-
for 3.1 Engagement with Value Chain	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	-
3.2 Engagement with Industry?	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	-
3.3 Engagement with Government, Public Sector and Civil Society?	
4. Metrics and Targets	
	A breakdown by business units regarding this Metrics and Targets is preferable so
	that users can check the progress of companies' transition not by company but by
	each business unit.
	Any changes from previously published metrics and targets should be clearly
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	explained and justified. We would suggest that for ease of understanding,
4.1 Governance, Business and Operational Metrics and Targets?	achievements against targets to date should be included, ideally including
	comparisons with achievements at the date of the previous iteration of the plan
	(noting that plans are expected to be updated every three years at the latest).
	To make comparative reviews easier, targets should explicitly reference the short,
	medium and long term roadmaps.
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	Same as above.
4.2 Financial Metrics and Targets?	

Questions	Comments
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	The disclosure recommendations for 4.2 should require intensity and/or absolute targets. Targets should cover the most material scopes and scope categories
4.3 GHG Emissions Metrics and Targets?	(upstream/downstream) for the business activity (not 'upstream and downstream').
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	-
4.4. Carbon Credits?	
5. Governance	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	-
5.1 Board Oversight and Reporting?	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	-
5.2 Roles, Responsibility and Accountability?	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	-
5.3 Culture?	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	-
5.4 Incentives and Remuneration?	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation for	
5.5 Skills, Competencies and Training?	
The Framework: Additional Comments	
Are there any other comments that you would like to provide on the TPT Disclosure	
Framework?	

Questions	Comments
The Guidance: Implementing the Sub-Elements	
1. Foundations	
In the Implementation Guidance we provide additional interpretative guidance for each sub-element, aimed to help preparers assess and implement the guidance. The interpretative guidance sits beneath an overview of 'TCFD additionality' for each sub-element.	-
Do you agree with the content of the interpretative guidance for each sub-element? Please consider the granularity of the information requested when you consider the overall content.	
 1.1 Objectives and Priorities o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to provide comments. o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance. o Don't Know 	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-

Questions	Comments
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the interpretative guidance?	
1.2 Business model implications	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the interpretative guidance?	
2. Implementation Strategy	
2.1 Business Planning and Operations	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	

Questions	Comments
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the interpretative guidance?	-
2.2 Products and Services	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the interpretative guidance?	-
2.3 Policies and Conditions	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	

Questions	Comments
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the interpretative guidance?	-
2.4 Financial Planning	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the interpretative guidance?	
2.5 Sensitivity Analysis	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	

Questions	Comments
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the interpretative guidance?	-
3. Engagement Strategy	
3.1 Engagement with Value Chain	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
Vou said that you [hyandly agreed, disagreed]. Plance cyplain your angular	-
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	
When we have the second of the	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the interpretative guidance?	

Questions	Comments
3.2 Engagement with Industry	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the interpretative guidance?	-
3.3 Engagement with Government, Public Sector and Civil Society	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidanceo I broadly agree with the	
content of the interpretative guidance but would like to provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the interpretative guidance?	-
4. Metrics and Targets	

Questions	Comments
4.1 Governance, Business and Operational Metrics and Targets	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Tod said that you [broadly agreed, disagreed] . Trease explain your answer	
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation?	-
Where relevant, now would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation:	
	-
4.2 Financial Metrics and Targets	
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-

Questions	Comments
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation?	-
4.3 GHG emissions Metrics and Targets	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation?	-
	-
4.4. Carbon Credits	
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	

Questions	Comments
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation?	-
5. Governance	
 5.1 Board Oversight and Reporting o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to provide comments. o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance. o Don't Know 	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation?	-
5.2 Roles, Responsibility and Accountability	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	

Questions	Comments
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation?	-
5.3 Culture	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation?	-
5.4 Incentives and Renumeration o Yes, I agree with the content of the	-
interpretative guidanceo I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative	
guidance but would like to provide comments.o No, I disagree with the content of the	
interpretative guidance.o Don't Know	

Questions	Comments
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation?	
5.5 Skills, Competencies and Training	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the interpretative guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the interpretative guidance but would like to	
provide comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the interpretative guidance.	
o Don't Know	
You said that you [broadly agreed; disagreed] . Please explain your answer	-
Where relevant, how would you suggest we change the disclosure recommendation?	-
The Guidance: The four stages	
In the Implementation Guidance we provide additional guidance for preparers, outlining four key stages to preparing a transition plan. At each stage, the guidance outlines process steps for the entity to disclose against the TPT Framework. Do you agree with the content of the Implementation Guidance for each stage?	Yes, I agree with the content of the guidance.

Questions	Comments
Stage 1: Baselining current position	
o Yes, I agree with the content of the guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the guidance but would like to provide	
comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the guidance.	
o Don't Know	
Please explain your selection	We can appreciate that TPT's guidance is built upon the TCFD guidance.
Stage 2: Setting Ambition	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the guidance but would like to provide	
comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the guidance.	
o Don't Know	
No. of the second secon	-
Please explain your selection	

Questions	Comments
Stage 3: Developing an Action Plan	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the guidance but would like to provide	
comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the guidance.	
o Don't Know	
Please explain your selection	-
Stage 4: Ensuring Accountability for Delivery	-
o Yes, I agree with the content of the guidance	
o I broadly agree with the content of the guidance but would like to provide	
comments.	
o No, I disagree with the content of the guidance.	
o Don't Know	
	-
Please explain your selection	

Questions	Comments
The Guidance: The four stages – Part 2	
In the Implementation Guidance we provide additional guidance for preparers,	Yes, the guidance has the right level of granularity.
outlining four key stages to preparing a transition plan. At each stage, the guidance	
outlines process steps for the entity to disclose against the TPT Framework.	
Is this guidance sufficiently granular?	
Stage 1: Baselining current position	
o No, the guidance is not sufficiently granular.	
o Yes, the guidance has the right level of granularity.	
o No, the guidance is too granular.	
o Don't Know	
Please explain your selection	We can appreciate that TPT's guidance is built upon the TCFD guidance. We
	think the framework should not be too granular at the moment.
	-
Stage 2: Setting Ambition	
o No, the guidance is not sufficiently granular.	
o Yes, the guidance has the right level of granularity.	
o No, the guidance is too granular.	
o Don't Know	

Questions	Comments
Please explain your selection	-
Stage 3: Developing an Action Plan	-
o No, the guidance is not sufficiently granular.	
o Yes, the guidance has the right level of granularity.	
o No, the guidance is too granular.	
o Don't Know	
Please explain your selection	-
Stage 4: Ensuring Accountability for Delivery	-
o No, the guidance is not sufficiently granular.	
o Yes, the guidance has the right level of granularity.	
o No, the guidance is too granular.	
o Don't Know	
Please explain your selection	-
The Guidance: Additional Comments	
Are there any other comments that you would like to provide on the TDT	Since granting external assurance or verification over the entire transition plan is
Are there any other comments that you would like to provide on the TPT Implementation Guidance?	substantially difficult given the current uncertainty, the framework should focus
	on ensuring that preparing companies review their transition trajectories every

Questions	Comments		
	three years so that investors, as users, can reliably track progress over time		
	rather than requiring external assurance of verification.		
Overall Feedback			
Is there any additional information that you would like to communicate to the TPT about these consultation documents?	As a general comment, we support that the Proposals are in line with the		
	recommendations by the Glasgow Financial Alliance for Net Zero and build on the		
	work of the TCFD and the ISSB to help the global convergence and		
	standardization of transition plans.		
	To enhance "Accountability" to evaluate the actionable steps stated in the		
	transition plan, it would be helpful for the framework to include pilot cases to		
	show how granular progress reporting is expected for each action.		

(End)